

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/686,876	LOGAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sharon E. Payne	2875	

All Participants:

(1) Sharon E. Payne.

(2) Charles Call.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 April 2005

Time: Approx. 10:00 a.m. EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

4, 14 and 21

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed that the second abstract on page 13 would be deleted and that spaces would be added to the triple words in claims 4 and 21. It was also agreed that claim 14 would be amended to depend on claim 12. It was further agreed that the second occurrence of the word "candle" would be deleted from the last line of claim 21. See the Examiner's Amendment..